

June 30, 2009 (# 353)

Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt June 30, 2009:

Logic Estranged for the Deranged:

"Soya, Veggies and Beans, the Farce is Starting,
We'll Soon, by Law, Each Pay for Farting,
A Collective Punishment on the Masses
For Expelling Legume Greenhouse Gases,
A Whole Lot of Nothing to be Traded for Money,
Two Cons Together, Now ain't that Funny,
No Jobs, and Services Given the Axe
As We're Ordered to Pay Existence Tax,
We're Bankrupt, Soon to Ration Food,
Sending Billions to Third World for 'Common Good' "
© Alan Watt June 30, 2009

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - June 30, 2009 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL *postal*
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on 30th of June, 2009. Newcomers look in to cuttingthroughthematrix.com web site and on the front page you'll see a lot of other sites I have up there. These are really for emergencies but people should use them all the time, as well, and spread the

bandwidth. That way Yahoo can't complain so much that so many people are downloading via them. On that front page you'll see the other sites. [listed above]. There's also alanwattsentientsentinel.eu, the European site and on there you'll also have the same audios of all the shows I've done over the last few years, for free, for download, plus you can print up written transcripts of the talks I've given, written in the various languages of Europe.

I always start off, that's why people skip over this part, by telling people that you bring me to you. I don't ask for money from any of the shows, television or radio, I've been on. IT'S UP TO YOU TO KEEP ME GOING. The reason I am independent is that there's always a trade-off if you take on advertisers and promote them. Because if certain topics come up, how do you answer a question truthfully if it concerns products and so on? You can only do it if you're going it alone. The ads you hear on the show pay for the time on RBN. They pay the technicians, the equipment, the costs, etc but I don't get paid from that. So, it's up to you to keep me going, helping ME get along. You can donate to me or buy the books or discs I have for sale on my site. You can use PayPal. Outside the Americas there's Western Union. There's also the MoneyGrams that are becoming more popular too. Some people just send straight cash. That saves everyone the deduction costs from the banks. It's so amazing that so few folk think of that these days. For those who get the discs passed around, you can write to me [listed above].

Having said that, you know I often wonder what to talk about at night. I generally don't plan anything. I scour the papers, see what's being put out for the people to read, I decide whether it's worth reading or not or even worth passing on. There's a lot of stuff put out for the public to read that will actually try and spin you off *into their way of thinking*. The way you're *supposed* to get your download. I try to dissect them and find out what they REALLY mean. A lot of legalities are given to the public all the time. We don't realize that. Our silence after reading something or even hearing 'a new deal', for instance, or 'a war on smoking' or 'a war on obesity' or a war on whatever, *these are legal declarations...* which become law if we keep silent. That is how common law is established. EVERYONE AGREES BY THEIR SILENCE AND IT BECOMES LAW. I'm going to talk more about this kind of law when I come back after these messages.

I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. Yesterday I mentioned the Chicago Climate Exchange, this private organization that Gore and Mr. Strong, Rockefeller, Rothschild, etc all took part in setting up. The Rothschilds, you see, their bank will be dealing eventually in Switzerland with all the trading of carbon taxes and so on. They deal with everything else, so why not that as well? But it's astonishing to see how things are CREPT in to the public's consciousness and then it becomes, after a while, accepted fact or just a routine, like it's always been here, therefore, it's law. That's how it creeps into being mandatory. EVERYTHING STARTS VOLUNTARILY, GENERALLY, AND THEN IT BECOMES MANDATORY.

You know, the driving licenses across most of the planet started off voluntary. You did NOT have to have a driving license. But then the goody two-shoes and the ones that thought they were getting up in the world would boast about taking their driving course and they were *authorized* now. They felt better than the ones who hadn't. The same thing happened with insurance for automobiles. You didn't need it at first. It was voluntary. Now, once they get something on the books, it's

lawful, you see. What they always use is 'democracy.' Well, 75% have already gone this way, what's wrong with you? Or they'll say, we'll just make it mandatory now that most have joined it. That's how they use democracy, when it suits them. The rest of the time it's just dictatorial fascism, basically.

What they want in this world of INTERdependence, is also a world where you *personally will pay your PERSONAL carbon taxes*. It's going to be lead off by all the greenies, of course, and all those who've fallen for all the nonsense and they'll lead the charge to *normalize it*. They'll boast about it. Then there'll be articles in all your local newspapers, etc and photographs of the green families and all this stuff until we're so sick of it. Then the government will just make it lawful. Well, 60% have gone this way so we're just going to make it the same for everyone. You see. THAT'S how it's getting introduced. Literally, it's the greatest magic act on the planet... this invisible nonsense. How can you weigh, see, or anything... invisible nonsense? You can't.

They're already starting it and have started it, in fact, in some airports in Canada and no doubt in Britain too. Here's a letter, an email from someone who's sent me this "Zerofootprint". This is the company, the PRIVATE, CHARITABLE organization, mind you, that seems to have been *given* the contract for this. It will belong to some foundation of Strong's or Rockefeller's. I've no doubt whatsoever. It says,

"I saw this while I was booking a ticket here in Canada. We can voluntarily, for the moment, pay to offset our carbon footprint. (*Alan: That's your personal one.*) Mandatory soon, I imagine. They don't miss a beat. For only \$25.60 plus general sales tax, (*A: So you got a sales tax ON TOP of your VOLUNTARY give-away tax, \$25.60*) I can offset my carbon footprint, 1.6 tons of it, on a flight to Germany." (*A: He sent me a link to the Zerofootprint. As it says, it's a PRIVATE organization, NOT for profit organization. It just sprung out of nowhere. HA. HA. ...like they all do, eh? But you can always trace them back to the same foundations.*)

"Zerofootprint"

Voluntary Personal Carbon Offset Tax
zerofootprint.net

A non profit organization that's emerged as the industry standard for offsets. (*A: It's just emerged as the industry standard for offsets, just like that. Just a click of the fingers and there it was.*) Our mandate is not only to help individuals and businesses become carbon neutral (*A: You know you've got to be DEAD not to give off carbon. You breathe it out, carbon dioxide. You have to be dead. [laughs]*) but to develop the technology and communities that will help the world rise to the challenge of Climate Change. (*A: They've dropped Global Warming because it's gone the other way, you see. Now, climate change just means changes in the weather. We used to always have the weatherman on television because the weather was always changing... for those who can remember that.*)

Air Canada and Zerofootprint have joined efforts to give YOU the opportunity to minimize the impact of your travel. Every flight you take releases carbon dioxide into the atmosphere and contributes to climate change. (*A: I wonder*

if they're going to tax all these jets that have been spraying us for 10 years and causing it to rain or to give us drought. It depends how they feel, what mix they use. That won't be mentioned because we're living in bogus land. We truly are. We're in the biggest Disneyland ever created.)

Here's an article here from Forbes Magazine. Here's how they're getting it across to the sort of middle class yuppies. Remember that article I read before too, studies at universities showed that the yuppies, THE MIDDLE CLASSES AND THE PROFESSIONAL CLASSES, ARE THE FIRST TO PARROT POLITICAL CORRECTNESS, NO MATTER WHAT IT IS BECAUSE THEY WANT TO BE THE SAME AS THEIR PEER GROUP. They don't want to be the odd man out. In other words, they have less individuality... so they always jump at what's politically correct at the time.

Your Personal Climate Exchange

Ian Ayres and Barry Nalebuff, 10.30.08

Forbes Magazine dated November 24, 2008

You could pledge to lower your home energy use and buy and sell credits for it.

The Chicago Climate Exchange (A: *That's that private one, again, with Rothschild, Rockefeller, Maurice Strong, Al Gore... putting it through, funneling it through Al Gore's own company and then off to the Rothschilds.*) is an unusual free market experiment in which companies that want to demonstrate a true commitment to reducing their greenhouse emissions pledge to lower them by 1% a year. If they surpass that goal, they end up with permits they can sell to others. If they fail, they are penalized by having to buy permits. (A: *You got to understand what they're saying here. If you pass the goal, you'll be put down as a pledge. You end up with permits you can sell to others. If you fail, you're penalized by having to buy permits.*)

What is unusual is that no one forces anyone to join the exchange. (A: *That's at the moment. The big boys at the top, I've read the articles, are making a fortune off it. At the bottom, the tax payers fund it all.*) Participation is voluntary. But once a business has signed up, it is contractually obligated (A: *Right. Remember that voluntary part?*) to buy or sell permits based on its performance. A company that beats the 1% goal gets both good publicity and a financial reward, and the specter of the potential penalty helps it reach that goal. (A: *So, punishing yourself if you can't make the goal. It's going to punish YOU financially.*)

(A: *Now, here it goes. Here it goes. I'm sure this article is getting put through all the yuppie magazines at the same time and all the business magazines.*)

Why not offer the same opportunity to individuals? (A: *That's you and me.*) You could contract to reduce your home energy consumption by 1% a year for each of the next ten years. (A: *You have no idea how the cost of living is going to go up to in the next few years.*) When you beat that target, you'd get permits to sell. When you miss, you'd pay a penalty by buying unused permits from others. (A: *Remember, you're contracted legally with them so you'll have to do it if you sign on.*) As a result, your incremental price of fuel would

go up. Every extra Btu you use would mean fewer permits to sell or more to buy. (A: *So if you failed, if you burned more BTUs, you'll also have to also not only pay for that fuel - you're probably freezing, that's why you're using more - but also, you'll have to buy more permits because of the penalty.*)

This incremental price shift is like a self-imposed tax on energy consumption. It creates an incentive to conserve. But it's different from an ordinary tax in that you may not have to pay anything. If you hit your target, you won't owe a dime. (A: *It's a casino. It's another casino idea. This for gamblers to be addicted to.*) Thus the scheme gives you an incentive without hitting you over the head.

Creating such a market might seem difficult, and the transaction costs could outweigh the benefits. Therefore we propose that utility companies set it up (A: *Well, that's wonderful that the utility companies will set it up for you...*) and do all the trading for you. When you sign up, the utility company will give you permits equal to 99% of your previous year's consumption. (A: *You know the price is going to go up every year. It always does, sometimes twice a year, for your fuel, right?*) To the extent that you beat that 99% target, you'll get credit for the value of your extra permits, with a minimum price of 5 cents per kilowatt-hour. That 5 cents is our estimate of how much utilities are already spending, per kwh saved, on programs to help consumers conserve energy, and it's enough to provide a big incentive. (A: *This is like gambling talk. You ever talk to people who are really into gambling and the horses, and so on? They've got all these terms and percentages and so on... for their bets.*) It's about 75% of the average price for electricity in the U.S., not including transmission and distribution costs. When you miss the target, the utility will buy the extra permits and bill you, up to a maximum price of 10 cents per kwh. Utilities should be allowed to buy renewable energy credits from one another, too, if it makes for a cheaper way of obtaining them. (A: *How's it going to get cheaper? Everything is going up. Always does. That is the law of the financial history. Everything goes up all the time.*)

So here they are trying to confuse the people and at the same time promising them they can win something at the same time if they meet their targets. Maybe we could all bundle up in sweaters and your face might be a bit blue but you might survive the winter. You'll be a very good, good person. You might get a little gold star from the IPCC at the United Nations. Who knows? Or you might just die and freeze to death. That's the rubbish that's getting peddled out there as they create this MASSIVE, NEW economy. It's a MUST BE. It was all done before we even heard of it, all this stuff. Even the carbon trading, etc. I'm sure Gore was told about this 30 years ago when he was picked and his future was read to him. They said you're going to be this, this, this and this by the year so and so. And you'll bring in carbon trading. He probably said what's that? And they had to give him a lesson on basic physics and what carbon is.

Everything that happens is set up years and years in advance INCLUDING who they're going to give you as politicians... years and years in advance. Their roles are all worked out for them long, long ago. Long ago. We're on one big agenda, you see. It's no coincidence the Club of Rome published that very thing in their book. They said in their book - it was in the 1970s - that they hit upon the idea of global warming to be used as the big stick on the public. That's a long time ago...

and they were working on it all then and giving the boys their future roles. Back with more after these messages.

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. There's been a big council, ongoing council, on the go for some time. It's called Comparative Effectiveness Research. It was set up by the present government to find ways to cost-cut across the public sector. Now, for all those who are rah-rahing for the coming socialized medicine, that's where you walk into factory clinics, hope to see a doctor, and if the doctor's called away to a hospital, you all have to come back the next day. That kind of stuff. The kind they have in Britain and elsewhere and Canada as well, some parts like where I live. They're trying to find ways of cutting costs, you see. That's what it's really all about. Comparative Effectiveness is what they're after. Something that's not quite the same, but it's effective... to some extent or other, in some vague way. It says here

Comparative Effectiveness Research Funding

Federal Coordinating Council for Comparative Effectiveness Research

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 created the Federal Coordinating Council for Comparative Effectiveness Research (*A: They love these long terms.*) to coordinate comparative effectiveness research across the Federal government. The Council will specifically make recommendations for the \$400 million allocated to the Office of the Secretary for CER.

Comparative Effectiveness Research, CER... and it's only costing them \$400 million to do all this research. I'm sure all the things that they're going to bring in were decided before they had the council. A lot of this is to do with health care. Now you remember, I read an article 2 or 3 weeks ago where Obama in a major speech was talking about... or an interview with a newspaper, he talked about the elderly and *what a burden and cost they are on the health care in society*. He brought into it his own grandmother, I believe, and how much it cost to keep her going at the end. He's made comments like, what's the point of giving someone an artificial hip if they haven't long to live? ... and stuff like that. After that, we found out that WASHINGTON STATE JUST GOT THE GO-AHEAD TO COMMIT THE FIRST EUGENICS ACT OR EUTHANASIA ACT, I SHOULD SAY, ON SOMEONE WHO WAS ELDERLY. *Now the state wants this power to kill.*

Personally, I don't care what people do. All down through history RELATIVES have attended people who were terminally ill and in awful pain. Everyone knows this. Everyone knows this. Doctors all know it. BUT WHAT IT REALLY IS ABOUT IS GIVING GOVERNMENT THE RIGHT TO DECIDE WHO LIVES AND DIES. That's different because, remember, they have political policies to follow, agendas to follow. Techniques, they call them. Technique. They're addicted to technique. They also want the right to decide who gets born and who doesn't get born. Remember that too.

I'll put the link up to this particular Comparative Effectiveness Research Funding and you can go into it yourself. There's a PDF you can get on it as well, but I'm also going to put up a video link. I think it was of this actual meeting to do with health care. Mr. Obama's favorite right-hand man on the issue was on the panel and when he's challenged - because he has written before, according to this reporter who challenged him - policies *advocating euthanasia*, he gets up and has

to leave suddenly. You'll see that happening. I think he's the man from the Executive Intelligence Review that asked the right questions. He knew his stuff. You'll see this right-hand man who's to be in charge of your health care get up and suddenly have to go. It's on YouTube. I'll put that link up for you to see.

Also, there's a link here for YouTube to do, I think it was one of the early morning American shows, but it's "US to get 3 flu shots" and they want to do it BY LAW. You'll hear them discussing it. You also hear them discuss how they'll introduce it with a massive BOMBARDMENT of media, which this YouTube video *from* the main stream is part of obviously. That's what that's out there for. Why do they want 3 flu shots? Well, they want you take the *normal* flu shot to begin with, the common one, the ones that never work. They have the wrong mixes. They tell us that every spring. They've never got it right yet, but they want to give you the common type PLUS the Swine flu one, followed by a booster.

Now, let's go back into when Baxter Laboratories sent out those mixes for inoculation, they were checked I think in Hungary or somewhere, where they caught them in Czechoslovakia and tested them on ferrets and they killed all the ferrets. Then they found out they had the common flu mixed with the Avian flu, the VERY THING they have told us they hope NEVER HAPPENS in nature. They did. Well, here's the theory of that. Here's the theory of it. As viruses spread from person to person, especially flu, the virus is called the great robber. It takes DNA, little bits of DNA, from a victim to the next victim and introduces that previous victim's DNA to the new victim. So it's always changing its composition. Now, in laboratories, they use animals, for instance, for what they call hosts or breeders. Fast reactors - they even joke about it - fast reactors, is IN the body of the animal and they breed up the viruses. A live being will breed it up. I'm going to explain why giving a common flu virus and this new one together in the same host might be the very killer... it'll create the killer that they're after. Back with more after these messages.

I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about viruses and how they mutate and rob a little bit of DNA from each victim and constantly are changing as they go to the next victim and drop that DNA in the victim and leave it behind sometimes. The whole theory of contagion, of course, in virology is based upon the constant mutations of viruses because they ARE always taking bits of DNA from hosts. That's why they tell you some of the major diseases out there can't be handled because they're rapidly going into different directions, different strains, thousands of strains, etc, etc, etc.

Remember, a couple of months ago I talked about the CDC and read the articles where they'd created a killer virus. They'd actually reconstructed the Spanish flu, basically by using the common flu today, taking 3 particular genes, adding it to it, and creating the killer... just to see what would happen in nature, they told us... right. Now, in nature, this robber, this virus sweeps across the population, supposedly. The odds are that eventually it will get 3, at least 3 particular virus genes, in sequence, from different victims to make it the killer. It's what they're supposed to be afraid of. Now the last thing you would want is to attach that to the more prevalent, common flu. Because now you've got a REAL KILLER on your hands because it's far more contagious, as opposed to the Swine flu. People are even wondering if it really does exist.

We find out - and I've read the articles too - in countries like Scotland, they are diagnosing them just at facial value. A runny nose, oh, it's Swine flu. They weren't taking tests, swab tests or anything. Other countries have been doing the same. We know it's been hyped up out of all proportions. We also know that the few deaths that there have been are generally in children or infants that are born 3 months premature, with other complications, or other people with massive complications, which is standard in any flu. But THEY REALLY, REALLY WANT TO GIVE US THESE INJECTIONS. As I say, the last thing you would do, according to their OWN theories, if they're telling us the real theories... I wonder if THEY know the real theories, because they keep changing them. However, if they're going by their own present theories, you would not want to use a host, that's you, and put in a common flu and then give you another injection with this Swine flu, supposedly, because out of that YOU'RE NOW THE BREEDER. You breed millions of viruses and possibly that combination, the deadly one, will suddenly appear. So I don't understand this logic at all. It is not logic, in fact.

It's an agenda. I'm very, very suspicious of these agendas. VERY suspicious indeed. Especially when I've been going over the World Health Organization and its other department, the World Population Council WHO WANT TO REDUCE THE POPULATION. That's their mandate. Look at their own site and see for yourself. Why would the big, bad wolf want to help you? They give it in children's fairy stories because children understand the punch line. They know that the big, bad wolf will never help you. It's the adults that forget it, through their propaganda. Amazing isn't it?

Here's an article here on the big, big food bank. You see, the big food bank they set up off Norway is storing all the world's seed. There's not only one, by the way, there's other ones as well. They're storing the world's grain, the natural grain, for the future, you understand. After all the big battles and population reduction and the starvation, the descendants of the wealthy elite - *those who are chosen, those who have evolved more than the rest of us* - will have all this stuff to themselves to feed themselves. They're having tours of the wealthy elite at the moment TO this particular bank. This one is from IceNews.

IceNews - Daily News
US VIPs to visit Svalbard's Global Seed Bank

By A. Rienstra on Jul 20, 2008

A diverse delegation of VIPs from the US will travel to Norway's Arctic archipelago of Svalbard this week in an attempt to learn more about global warming and climate change.

The group of Americans comes as a high profile nod from politics and business at a time when climate change is being taken increasingly seriously in the USA. CNN founder Ted Turner (A: *You know, that other guy that wants to drastically reduce the population. He's publicly said so many times.*), Google founder Larry Page, billionaire philanthropist George Soros (A: *Ha! He's just a front man for Rothschild.*), former Secretary of State Madeleine Albright (A: *The one who liked to kill off all the Iraqis by starvation and said it was justified.*) and ex-US President Jimmy Carter are just some of the well-known faces in the delegation. (A: *What they're getting shown is how their future offspring, all their descendants, will be fed from that when we're all*

dead.)

The focus of the trip, which was organised by the National Geographic Society (A: *You know. The ones that's shown us all these wonderful photographs around the world and taught us to respect insects and mosquitoes and things that bite you.*), is to examine the revolutionary Global Seed Bank that opened this February. The monumental facility houses seed samples from across the globe. (A: *Of course as Monsanto's been going in for years and years and years with the modified stuff, the local peoples have been using less of their own or even disregarding it until it falls away so they can't go back to the old stuff. All the old stuff's been saved by these geezers in a place like this one.*)

When it was opened, the seed bank on the remote island of Spitsbergen contained 268,000 different seed samples, each one from a separate farm to ensure diversity. The Svalbard Global Seed Bank is the first step in a novel attempt to preserve the earth's biodiversity, which is vanishing at an alarming rate.

Crop diversity is essential for future food production. This will protect mankind's food from disease and pests which could eradicate an entire species of crop. The seeds are housed in a 'fail-safe' vault which has been dug deep into a frozen Arctic mountain. Scientists expect the vault to be secure for centuries.

For security reasons, the delegation from America will not be allowed to enter the actual rooms where the seeds are kept. (A: *Boy, they're taking no chances with this stuff, eh? No chances at all. Quite something.*)

It's no wonder we always hear ONE side of the story on the media and from all these IPCC experts and so on at the United Nations. They ban people from going to their meetings with any opposing opinion or evidence. This is from The Telegraph.

**Polar bear expert barred by global warmists
Mitchell Taylor, who has studied the animals for 30 years, was told his
views 'are extremely unhelpful', reveals Christopher Booker.**

Christopher Booker / 27 Jun 2009

Over the coming days a curiously revealing event will be taking place in Copenhagen. Top of the agenda at a meeting of the Polar Bear Specialist Group (set up under the International Union for the Conservation of Nature/Species Survival Commission) will be the need to produce a suitably scary report on how polar bears are being threatened with extinction by man-made global warming.

This is one of a steady drizzle of events planned to stoke up alarm in the run-up to the UN's major conference on climate change in Copenhagen next December. (A: *They did the same story last time. This is true, what they're saying. It's meant to stoke up alarm by giving us SCARY, SCARY scenarios.*) But one of the world's leading experts on polar bears has been told to stay away from this week's meeting, specifically because his views on global

warming do not accord with those of the rest of the group. (A: *There's democracy in action. They're really after the truth in democracy aren't they?*)

Dr Mitchell Taylor has been researching the status and management of polar bears in Canada and around the Arctic Circle for 30 years, as both an academic and a government employee. More than once since 2006 he has made headlines by insisting that polar bear numbers, far from decreasing, are much higher than they were 30 years ago. (A: *So there you are. They're actually on the increase. I've actually read reports the other day about the same thing.*) Of the 19 different bear populations, almost all are increasing or at optimum levels, only two have for local reasons modestly declined.

Dr Taylor agrees that the Arctic has been warming over the last 30 years. But he ascribes this not to rising levels of CO2 - as is dictated by the computer models of the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and believed by his PBSG colleagues - but to currents bringing warm water into the Arctic from the Pacific and the effect of winds blowing in from the Bering Sea.

He has also observed, however, how the melting of Arctic ice, supposedly threatening the survival of the bears, has rocketed to the top of the warmists' agenda as their most iconic single cause. The famous photograph of two bears standing forlornly on a melting iceberg was produced thousands of times by Al Gore, the WWF and others as an emblem of how the bears faced extinction - until last year the photographer, Amanda Byrd, revealed that the bears, just off the Alaska coast, were in no danger. Her picture had nothing to do with global warming and was only taken because the wind-sculpted ice they were standing on made such a striking image. (A: *The actually swam back to the shore. [laughs] Seeing is believing, eh? A picture is worth a thousand words.*)

Dr Taylor had obtained funding to attend this week's meeting of the PBSG, but this was voted down by its members because of his views on global warming. The chairman, Dr Andy Derocher, a former university pupil of Dr Taylor's, frankly explained in an email (which I was not sent by Dr Taylor) that his rejection had nothing to do with his undoubted expertise on polar bears: "it was the position you've taken on global warming that brought opposition". (A: *So, only those who are cheerleaders for global warming are getting in. Remember too, all the articles I've read where they have actually said that the ice was 3 foot THICKER this year at the arctic than previous years. It's really, actually growing. And when it doesn't grow on one side, it grows on the other. Nothing's static up there. It never has been. Nothing's ever been static.*)

There you go. There's how you take a photograph. You make people feel sorry thinking oh, my God, those poor bears died. No they didn't die; they swam back to shore. They had a good little dip, a good swim, and a good laugh, and went back to shore. Like they always do. You know how FAR bears can swim? They can go sometimes hundreds of miles... if they want to. Amazing, eh? An ignorant public are so easy to manipulate. What was it too, that you find that Brzezinski said in his book **Between Two Ages?** THEY'D CREATE A PUBLIC THAT WOULD BE HIGHLY EMOTIVE. EMOTIONAL TALK WAS ALL YOU HAD TO GIVE THEM TO GET YOUR WAY WITH THEM TO MANIPULATE THEM. They have created that

society. Dumb, stupid and ready to cry tears at any drop of a penny. It's been done.

From The Mail Online.

Brown facing revolt over plans to raid health, transport and education budgets to pay for latest round of spending

By James Chapman / 30th of June 2009

Gordon Brown (*A: That's the Prime Minister in from Blair. Again, another Fabian and he's an actual Fabian member.*) was facing a Cabinet revolt today as it emerged his latest round of spending on 'social housing' will be paid for out of other Government departments' budgets. (*A: In other words, they're cutting back.*)

The Prime Minister yesterday unveiled an additional £1.5 billion to build 110,000 'social homes' which will form the centrepiece of Labour's pre-election fightback.

But the plan will not be funded with new money, ministers confirmed yesterday. (*A: We're told. But you get scrolling down it, it actually says that they're afraid there could be riots coming because of the massive cutbacks. That's what the story is really, really all about. Massive cutbacks... if they can't, basically, keep the people going, feed the people and so on.*) [He predicted 'riots on the streets' unless politicians are honest about the need to rein in public spending.] ['That would be the worst of it: you go in to an election pretending you are not going to have to make spending reductions, then you have to make them, and then you really do have riots on the streets because people do not have faith in their politicians.']

I've mentioned too, that the world we're to go into is to be a vegetarian society. It's been said so many times for the last 50 years. We find many top writers and futurists putting this stuff into their books, etc. Newt Gingrich was pushing it as well, on behalf of Toffler, in his book **The Third Way**. He said it will be a vegetarian world and it must be so. Here's The Mail Online.

Eat red meat just three times a week, says World Wildlife Fund

By Sean Poulter / 29th June 2009

Packs of red meat should carry warning labels advising shoppers to ration themselves to three portions a week, amid controversial claims that livestock production is killing the planet. (*A: Everything, EVERYTHING, everything that keeps you alive - EVERYTHING - is going to be held in proxy for you by government. In other words, it's not YOURS anymore. Nothing is going to be YOURS anymore. Anything you need to live, you'll have to beg or plead of bribe for it.*)

The proposals come from the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) (*A: You know, that one, that Prince Phillip. The guy who says that if he comes back in reincarnation, he wants to come back as a virus that will kill a lot of people. That's a fact. He did say that. He's the head of it, World Wildlife Fund.*), which also wants Britons to switch to milk substitutes as part of a radical

move away from dairy farming. (A: *I wonder if that will also affect the Royal herds of Angus that they have away up in Scotland for all the Royalty? They actually fly the chef up to have a tour of the beef herds when they're having a party and he picks out the ones to slaughter. I wonder if it will affect them? Somehow I don't think so. Prince Phillip.*)

However, the food industry yesterday slammed the idea as 'dangerous', 'totally unrealistic' and likely to decimate British agriculture.

WWF argues that meat packs should carry a warning label reading '1 of 3 a week max' while bottles of milk or packs of cheese should state: '1 of 3 a day max'. (A: *I told you after 9/11, I says, you know you're going to see a whole war scenario put down our throats including rationing one day. You got to understand how they're doing it. They're literally introducing all those things you would see in a TRUE ongoing war. What was it that they said at the Club of Rome? To unite the planet, to make people subservient to their governments and obey into this new order, they'd have to create a WAR SCENARIO. That means ration cards. It means rationing food. It means people being moved from area to area under the guise, obviously, of coming plagues, etc. ID cards... all that stuff. They're using war scenarios and this is part of it.*)

The organisation also wants the composition of ready meals changed to, for example, reduce the amount of red meat in a cottage pie or dairy in a cauliflower cheese.

Why don't we tell them to go and get stuffed? Because, you see, really, what are they? They're another NON-governmental organization. WE DON'T VOTE THEM IN. So, why do they have any say? Why to they have priority say in any politician's ear? It's because it's the agenda, you see. It's the agenda. They're only one of thousands, 'a thousand points of light' as Mr. Bush Sr. called them, that are working *through* government. The Soviet style. Rule by Councils. The true democracy, according to them, is THE COUNCILS. That's what the NGOs represent, but they're all funded by the foundations. Foundations.

In polity.org.za it covers a meeting that was held with Gordon Brown and other Prime Ministers. This was in 2008.

FINANCIAL CRISES

Commonwealth say IMF, UN 'inadequate' on crises

By: Reuters / Published: 10 Jun 08

British Prime Minister Gordon Brown, at the close of the meeting, said bodies such as the International Monetary Fund were no longer fit for the purpose. (A: *...of basically responding to the crisis of the planet. Now, that was BEFORE the crash. And here he is talking about a new Bretton Woods THEN and a new body for the United Nations and higher status for the IMF. Back with more after this break.*)

I am Alan Watt and we're back, Cutting Through The Matrix. I'll put these links up on my site at the end of the show. You can look into them yourself. This last one, before I go to the callers, is amazing. Here's Mr. Brown calling for a new

Bretton Woods, calling for either a different IMF or a much more bolstered up IMF to deal with international crisis, etc BEFORE the crash happened. The very same things he was calling for a year later. Very same things as the G20 meeting. You think nothing's planned in advance? He even talks here about food shortages. 2008, June.

"We acknowledge that we face and particularly the poorest countries of the world face three major crises," he told a news conference.

"We have food shortages, fuel shortages and oil price rises that have been incredibly damaging to all economies and the credit crunch which is affecting finance throughout the world."

He raised the prospect of a new conference along the lines of the original Bretton Woods meeting that set up the World Bank, IMF and other bodies after World War Two.

Now, I'll go to the callers and there's Jeff from Massachusetts. Are you there Jeff?

Jeff: Yes. I'm right here. How's it going?

Alan: It's going not bad, apart from all the rain we're getting, after the spray.

Jeff: Well, that's it. You know what's funny is? All this environmental stuff is nothing but naked communism. Because in Red China, they tell the people, you have to have one child. In communist Russia, most of the people don't have cars, only the elite have cars. Most of the sheeple are forced to take buses. Number three, a long time ago, I worked in a bakery and this person said to me, Jeff, I don't want to talk about religion or geo-politics; all I want to talk about is sports and pornography. You see, it's like the people have been turned into seals and the government feeds them a fish. What happens when you take away their fish? What happens when the dog doesn't get his food? The dog gets angry. That's when you have riots and the government can manipulate the riots.

Alan: That's right.

Jeff: To make is so you can't even get out of your town without having an ID card on you and that's what they do in communist countries.

Alan: That's exactly what the Club of Rome advocated. They liked the collectivist/soviet system. That's what they planned for the future on a world basis.

Jeff: Exactly. You know, that's exactly what you're dealing with, Global Communism. I think of communism from China, Russia and the Capitalism in America as competing predators. The scholars have said that. The fact is, as a predator we're about to get eaten and we have been infiltrated by communism, China and Russia, and we are about to have our lunch eaten. In fact, we created communism but the communism from Russia and China, we can't control it any more.

Alan: That's right. The thing is too, the same boys that created the world

communism, are the same boys that run the moneyed system anyway. It's far easier for them to deal with the heads of nations than to collect debt independently from each individual. They get the governments to do it for them through taxes. It benefits the bankers. That's why the bankers funded communism in the first place.

Jeff: Exactly. But before I get off the phone, I believe in my heart of hearts that the communism from China and Russia is like a Frankenstein monster that can not be controlled and eventually we're going to be taken over by Russia and China and we're going to be dictated by Russia and China and we're going to be vassals of Russia and China and there ain't anything we can do about it.

Alan: I think it's even worse. I think they're going to decimate the planet. They've got it figured out, I'm sure, how many Chinese they want, how many Britain's they want and so on, ideally. In fact, they'll even have it worked out already because they've got the human genome finished long ago. They know who they want. They know whose children they want. That's how they're going to get away from that one. They're not worried about Russia or China invading anybody. They've got the future all mapped out, even their food supply up in the Norwegian waters.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods *GO* with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

["Zerofootprint" \[Voluntary Personal Carbon Offset Tax\] \(zerofootprint.net\).](http://zerofootprint.net)

["Your Personal Climate Exchange" by Ian Ayres and Barry Nalebuff \(forbes.com\) - Nov. 24, 2008.](#)

- [Coming Health Care Cuts for U.S.:](#)
- ["Listening Session of the Federal Coordinating Council for Comparative Effectiveness Research" \(hhs.gov\) - June 10, 2009.](#)
- ["Comparative Effectiveness Research Funding" \(hhs.gov\).](#)
- ["Federal Coordinating Council for Comparative Effectiveness Research" \[PDF File\] \(hhs.gov\) - June 30, 2009.](#)
- [Video: "Obama Depopulation Policy Exposed!" \[See Obama's Top Man Emanuel Leave Quickly When His Pro-Euthanasia Policy is Exposed\] \(youtube.com\).](#)

[Video: "Obama Admin to Depopulate This Fall 2009" \[US to get 3 flu Shots by Law\] \(youtube.com\).](#)

["US VIPs to visit Svalbard's Global Seed Bank" by A. Rienstra \(icenews.is\) - July 20, 2008.](#)

["Polar bear expert barred by global warmists" by Christopher Booker](#)

telegraph.co.uk) - June 27, 2009.

["Brown facing revolt over plans to raid health, transport and education budgets to pay for latest round of spending" by James Chapman \(dailymail.co.uk\) - July 1, 2009.](#)

["Eat red meat just three times a week, says World Wildlife Fund" by Sean Poulter \(dailymail.co.uk\) - June 29, 2009.](#)

["Commonwealth say IMF, UN 'inadequate' on crises" Reuters \(polity.org.za\) - June 10, 2008.](#)

Transcribed by Diana

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- [BOOKS](#)** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))
- [CDs](#)** Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs:
Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
- [DVDs](#)** "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"